

Solution of Assignment 4

January 12, 2016

1. In a second-order Markov chain, each state depends on the two previous states, i.e.,
 $P[X^{(t+1)} = S_k | X^{(t)} = S_j, X^{(t-1)} = S_i, \dots] = P[X^{(t+1)} = S_k | X^{(t)} = S_j, X^{(t-1)} = S_i]$.
Show that the second-order Markov chain can always be converted to a first-order Markov chain.
(Hint: by redesign the states)

Answer:

Denote $p(w|y, z) = P[X^{(t+1)} = w | X^{(t)} = y, X^{(t-1)} = z]$

Let $Z^{(t)} = (X^{(t)}, X^{(t+1)})$

We have $P[Z^{(t+1)} = (w, w') | Z^{(t)} = (y, z)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w' \neq y \\ p(w|y, z) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

2. Prove that a Bayesian network must be a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG).

Answer:

We prove by induction by considering Bayesian networks with i nodes, $i \geq 2$. When $i = 2$, we have either $P(X_1, X_2) = P(X_1|X_2)P(X_2)$ or $P(X_1, X_2) = P(X_2|X_1)P(X_1)$, which all results in a DAG. Now suppose that every Bayesian network with i nodes is a DAG, and consider a Bayesian network with $i + 1$ nodes given by $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{i+1} P(X_j | \text{parent}(X_j))$. Let L be a node in the network that has no child. Then the subgraph corresponding to $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i+1}\} \setminus L$ is a DAG based on our assumption. Since all links between nodes in the subgraph and L have the same direction pointing to L , the Bayesian network cannot have any path passing through L and therefore must still be a DAG.

3. Given random variables A, B, C , and D , answer true or false and justify your answer:

- (a) $\{A\} \perp\!\!\!\perp \{B\} | \{C\}$ implies $\{A\} \perp\!\!\!\perp \{B\}$;
- (b) $\{A\} \perp\!\!\!\perp \{B\}$ implies $\{A\} \perp\!\!\!\perp \{B\} | \{C\}$;
- (c) $\{A\} \perp\!\!\!\perp \{B, C\} | \{D\}$ implies $\{A\} \perp\!\!\!\perp \{B\} | \{D\}$.

Answer:

- (a) False, as $p(A, B) = \int p(A, B, C) dC = \int p(A, B|C) p(C) dC = \int p(A|C) p(B|C) p(C) dC$ does not generally equal to $p(A)p(B)$ for all distributions.
- (b) False, as $p(A, B|C) = \frac{p(C|A, B)p(A, B)}{p(C)} = \frac{p(C|A, B)p(A)p(B)}{p(C)}$ does not generally equal to $p(A|C)p(B|C) = \frac{p(A|C)p(C|B)p(B)}{p(C)}$.
- (c) True, since $p(A, B|D) = \int p(A, B, C|D) dC = \int p(A|D) p(B, C|D) dC = p(A|D) \int p(B, C|D) dC = p(A|D)p(B|D)$.

4. Given a Hidden Markov model with time homogeneous Gaussian emission probability $P[\mathbf{x}^{(t)}|z_i^{(t)}, \theta_i] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \det(\Sigma_i)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^\top \Sigma_i^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)}$, where $\theta_i = (\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \Sigma_i)$. Consider the problem finding $\Theta = (\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(1)}, \mathbf{A}, \{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^K)$ using the EM algorithm. Show that maximizing $\mathcal{Q}(\Theta; \Theta^{old})$ in the M-step gives $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)} \mathbf{x}^{(t)}}{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)}}$ and $\Sigma_i = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)} (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)(\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^\top}{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)}}$.

Answer:

$$\mathcal{Q}(\Theta; \Theta^{old}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \ln(\pi_i^{(1)}) r_i^{(1)} + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \ln(a_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j}^{(t)} + \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K \ln(P[x^{(t)}|z_i^{(t)}, \theta_i]) \gamma_i^{(t)}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{t=1}^T \pi_i^{(1)} = 1, \sum_{j=1}^K a_{i,j} = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq K$$

$$\text{solve } \pi^{(i)}: L(\pi^{(1)}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^k \ln(\pi_i^{(1)}) \gamma_i^{(1)} - \alpha (\sum_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{(1)} - 1)$$

$$\text{solve } A: L(A, \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^k) = \sum_{i=1}^{T-1} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \ln(a_{i,j}) \xi_{i,j}^{(t)} - \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i (\sum_{j=1}^K a_{i,j} - 1)$$

$$\text{solve } \{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^k: \max \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K \ln(P[x^{(t)}|z_i^{(t)}, \theta_i]) \gamma_i^{(t)}, \text{ assume } P[x^{(t)}|z_i^{(t)}, \theta_i] \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \Sigma_i)$$

$$f = \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K \ln(P[x^{(t)}|z_i^{(t)}, \theta_i]) \gamma_i^{(t)} =$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^\top \Sigma_i^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) \gamma_i^{(t)} - \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K \ln(2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \gamma_i^{(t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_i} = -\frac{1}{2} (\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)} (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^\top \cdot 2\Sigma_i^{-1}) = 0 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_i = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)} \mathbf{x}^{(t)}}{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \Sigma_i^{-1}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^\top (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) \gamma_i^{(t)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)} \Sigma_i = 0 \Rightarrow \Sigma_i = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^\top (\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) \gamma_i^{(t)}}{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_i^{(t)}}$$